

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/041,569             | 01/10/2002      | Giuseppe Dal Pra     | Q67744                  | 5210             |
| 3624                   | 7590 04/17/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| 30 SOUTH 17            |                 |                      | LUONG, VINH             |                  |
| PHILADELPH             | HIA, PA 19103   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |                 |                      | 3682                    | LO               |
|                        |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003 | •                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/041,569 Applicant(s)

DAL PRA

Examiner

Luong

Art Unit 3682



|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o  | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |      |  |  |
|--|---|--|------|--|--|
| Period f   | or Reply  |  |      |  |  |
| A SHO  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THAT ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |  |      |  |  |
| - Extensi  | ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In ne  | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the                               |      |  |  |
| - If the p<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>- Any re   | date of this communication.  eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the  eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the  by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the  patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).   |      |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |      |  |  |
| 1) 💢   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on $4/9/03$  |  |      |  |  |
| 2a) 🗌  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action   | ion is non-final.  |      |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |      |  |  |
| Disposi  | tion of Claims  |  |      |  |  |
| 4) 💢   | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>   | is/are pending in the application.   |      |  |  |
| 4  | a) Of the above, claim(s) 7 and 8   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |      |  |  |
| 5) 🗆   | Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |      |  |  |
| 6) 💢   | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>   | is/are rejected.   |      |  |  |
| 7) 🗆   | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |      |  |  |
| 8) 🗆   |   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement   | .    |  |  |
| Applica  | tion Papers   |  |      |  |  |
| 9) 🔯   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  | ١    |  |  |
| 10)🔯   | The drawing(s) filed on 1/10/02 is/are  | a) $\square$ accepted or b) $ ot \!$ |      |  |  |
| , ,  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di  |  |      |  |  |
| 11)  | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examin   | ier. |  |  |
| ,  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t   |  |      |  |  |
| 12)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin  |  |      |  |  |
| Priority   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |      |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr   | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |      |  |  |
| a) [   |   |  |      |  |  |
|  | 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have  | ve been received.  |      |  |  |
|  | 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have   | ve been received in Application No   |      |  |  |
|  | application from the International Burea  | ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                  | _    |  |  |
|  | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the  | 18-1-T 1   |      |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   | Primary Examiner   |      |  |  |
|  | The translation of the foreign language provisiona  |  |      |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)   |   |  |      |  |  |
|  | nent(s)<br>otice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |      |  |  |
| , ,  | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |      |  |  |
|  | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Other:  |      |  |  |

1.

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Figs. 1-5 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden exists in examining all of the claims in this

application. This is not found persuasive because:

(A) the species are patentably distinct. Applicant apparently concedes to this fact

Page 2

since applicant does not submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species

to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. The patentably distinct

species render undue burden to search all of the species in this application; and

(B) applicant's claims recite mutually exclusive characteristics, e.g., claim 8 recites

the limitations "said rocker arm is ring-shaped" which under the disclosure is found in the species of

Figs. 6-9, but not in the species of Figs. 1-5. The mutually exclusive characteristics render undue

burden to search all of the species in this application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 7 and 8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as

being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant

timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a

separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150

words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer

is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said,"

Art Unit: 3682

should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract: (a) exceeds 150 words in length; and (b) uses legal phraseology "said." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The listing of references in the specification (e.g., pages 1 and 2) is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 6. The information disclosure statement filed on January 10, 2002 which is incorporated into the specification (pages 1 and 2) fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- 7. The drawings are objected to because each part of the invention, such as, (a) the control cable in line 11 of claim 1 and line 5 on page 3 of the specification; and (b) the release direction of the cable in claim 1 should be designated by a referential numeral or character. A proposed drawing correction

Application/Control Number: 10/041,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

8. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature

of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed features, such as, the end portion of

a control cable of a derailleur and the release direction of the cable in claim 1 must be shown or the

features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: each part of the invention,

such as, (a) the end portion of the control cable in line 11 of claim 1 and line 5 on page 3 of the

specification; and (b) the release direction of the cable in claim 1 should be designated by a referential

numeral or character. Appropriate correction is required.

10. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims contain

typographical or grammatical error, e.g., "comprising," in line 2 of claim 1 should have been

"comprising:". Appropriate correction is required.

11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

12. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,569

Art Unit: 3682

The term, such as, "substantially" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear, e.g., what range of angles or degrees defined by the first and second axes is required in order to be considered as being "substantially orthogonal."

No antecedent basis is seen for the terms, such as, "the handlebar" in lines 3 and 4 of claim 1, and "said rocker arm" in claims 3, 5, and 6.

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 14. Claims 1, 2, and 4, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Romano'683 (US Patent No. 5,257,683).

Regarding claim 1, Romano'683 teaches a combined gear change and brake control unit for a bicycle comprising,

a support body 3 which can be fastened to a handlebar 1 of the bicycle,

a brake control lever 12 pivotally mounted on the support body 3 around a first axis 11 (ibid., line 21 et seq., column 5),

a gear change control unit carried by the support body 3, comprising a shaft 24 turning around a second axis 23, either orthogonal or substantially orthogonal to said first axis 11, in which

Application/Control Number: 10/041,569

Art Unit: 3682

the shaft 24 carries a pulley 27 on which an end portion 29a of a control cable 29 of a derailleur is destined to be wound (Fig. 2, id., line 5 et seq., column 6), and in which said shaft 24 is subject to a return torque tending to turn the shaft 24 toward a direction in which the cable 29 is released,

a gear change lever 50 (Fig. 1) arranged behind the brake control lever 12 for controlling the rotation of said shaft 24 in a direction of most winding of the cable 29 and

a button lever 37 (Figs. 2, 3, and 5) arranged on a side of said support body 3 for controlling the rotation of said shaft 24 in the release direction of the cable 29,

wherein the gear change control unit comprises a ratchet mechanism 25, 34-36, etc. controlled by said button lever 37 and subject to assuming a home position and an active position, the ratchet mechanism is arranged so to leave the shaft 24 free to turn by a predetermined amplitude in the release direction of the cable 29, under the action of said return torque following each variation of position of the ratchet mechanism 25, 34-36, etc. between the home position and the active position, and vice versa. Ibid., line 15 et seq., column 6, and claims 1-9.

Regarding claim 2, said ratchet mechanism comprises a gear 25 fastened to the support body 3 with a first and a second meshing unit 26 (Fig. 4) cooperating with said gear 25.

Regarding claim 4, the first and second meshing unit 26 are arranged so to retain the gear 25 in said release direction of the cable 29.

15. Claims 3, 5, and 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/041,569

Art Unit: 3682

16. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all

formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR

1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: Campagnolo'951 (Fig. 1), Romano'913 (Figs. 8 and 9), Romano'776 (Figs. 3-5),

Campagnolo'372 (arm 13b in Fig. 3), Dal Pra'401 (Figs. 1-7), Campagnolo'195 (arm 13b), and

Nagano'692 (units 51 and 81).

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Luong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3221. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM EST to 7:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci, can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Luong

April 15, 2003

Vinh T. Luong
Primary Examiner